

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/575,439</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) ASAKURA, JUN</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner MARCOS BATISTA</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2617</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/575,439	Applicant(s) ASAKURA, JUN	Examiner MARCOS BATISTA	Art Unit 2617
Application No. 10/575,439	Applicant(s) ASAKURA, JUN				
Examiner MARCOS BATISTA	Art Unit 2617				

All Participants:

(1) MARCOS BATISTA.

(2) Seongyoune Kang.

Status of Application: Response After Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 April 2010 Time: 2:30 pm EST.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Changes to the title were approved

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)